

Application for United States Patent

of

Steven Edward Atkin

for

5

Bi-directional Display

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

(CLAIMING BENEFIT UNDER 35 U.S.C. 120)

10

Not applicable.

FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH

AND DEVELOPMENT STATEMENT

This invention was not developed in conjunction with any Federally sponsored contract.

15

MICROFICHE APPENDIX

Not applicable.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

20

Not applicable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] This invention relates to the technologies of
5 computer displays and interpretation of file and data for
display on a computer. This invention especially relates
to the technologies of bi-directional display methods for
displaying portions of data which require orientation
from left-to-right and from right-to-left to support
10 various international character sets and languages.

Description of the Related Art

[0002] Prior to the introduction of rich encoding
schemes such as Unicode and ISO10646, most text streams
15 consisted of characters originating from a single script.
Traditionally an encoding was comprised of one national
script plus a subset of the Latin script (ASCII 7) which
fit within the confines of an 8 bit character type. In
such an environment, presentation of text is a relatively
20 trivial matter.

[0003] For the most part, the order in which a program
stores its characters (logical order) is equivalent to
the order in which they are visually presented (display
order). Thus, there is a direct correlation between the
25 logical order and display order. Exceptions to this rule
include scripts which are written from right to left,
such as Arabic, Hebrew, Farsi, Urdu, and Yiddish.

[0004] One existing method to solve this problem is to require computer users, such as computer programmers or web browser users, to enter characters in display order. This is no problem for users of left-to-right languages.

5 However, for users of

right-to-left languages, this requires the user to enter the characters and words in "reverse order". For example, to create a text stream containing Arabic characters, the user must enter them backwards.

5 **[0005]** This solution is not elegant, and it becomes cumbersome when right-to-left and left-to-right scripts are intermixed, creating bi-directional scripts.

10 **[0006]** Another solution known in the art is to allow users to enter text in logical order, but to require them to use some explicit directional formatting codes within the script, for example, 0x202B and 0x202A in Unicode, for segments of text that run contrary to the base text direction. As this is acceptable in some instances, it has problems in practice, as well. First, it is
15 undefined what a computer should do with the explicit control codes in tasks other than displaying the script. This may cause problems when these formatting codes are received by searching algorithms, or when they are interchanged between systems.

20 **[0007]** These explicit formatting codes require specific code points to be set-aside for them, as well. In some encodings, this may be unacceptable due to the fixed number of code points available and the number of code points required to represent the script itself.

25 **[0008]** Ideally, a system of encoding mixed direction scripts would maintain the flexibility of entering

characters in logical order while still achieving the correct visual appearance and display order. Such algorithms do exist, and are called "implicit layout algorithms".

[0009] Implicit layout algorithms require no explicit directional codes nor any higher order protocols. These algorithms can automatically determine the correct visual layout by simply examining the logical text stream. Yet

5 in certain cases correct layout of a text stream may still remain ambiguous. Consider the following example in TABLE 1 in which Arabic letters are represented by upper case Latin characters.

10	- - - - -
	- - - - -
	TABLE 1: Ambiguous layout
	- - - - -
	- - - - -
15	fred does not believe TAHT YAS SYAWLA I
	- - - - -
	- - - - -

[0010] In the absence of context, such as a base or paragraph direction, there are two possible ways to

20 display the sentence. When displayed from left to right, it appears as "Fred does not believe I always say that", and when displayed from right to left, it appears as "I always say that Fred does not believe". As evident from this example, the two interpretations can represent

25 completely different meanings, and may give no clue whatsoever that there has been an error in the display of

the script.

[0011] The Unicode Bi-directional Algorithm rectifies such problems by providing a mechanism for unambiguously determining the visual representation of all raw streams of Unicode text. The algorithm is based upon existing implicit layout algorithms and is supplemented by the addition of explicit directional control codes.

[0012] Generally the Unicode implicit rules are sufficient for the layout of most text streams. However, there are cases in which the Unicode algorithm may give inappropriate or inaccurate display results. For example, a telephone number appearing in a stream of Arabic letters "MY NUMBER IS (321)713-0261." This should not be rendered as a mathematical expression as show in TABLE 2. As demonstrated, without knowledge of the use of the numbers in this context, the correct display cannot correctly be determined.

TABLE 2: Rendering numbers

Incorrect display: 0261-713(321) SI REBMUN YM

Correct display: (321)713-0261 SI REBMUN YM

[0013] Various implementations of the Unicode Bi-directional Algorithm have been proposed in technical reports, such as Unicode Technical Report #9, including
5 "Pretty Good Bidi Algorithm" (PGBA), "Free Implementation of the Bidi Algorithm" (FriBidi)], "IBM Classes for Unicode" (ICU), Java 1.2, Unicode Java Reference, and Unicode C Reference.

[0014] Currently, there exist two reference
10 implementations of the Unicode Bidirectional algorithm, one in Java and the other in C, as well as printed textual descriptions contained in technical reports such as Unicode Technical Report #9.

[0015] Upon our testing of the reference
15 implementations of the Unicode Bidirectional algorithm on a large number of concise and carefully crafted test cases of basic bidirectional text, several problems and ambiguous results are found.

[0016] To simulate Arabic and Hebrew input/output, a
20 simple set of rules can be utilized. These rules make use of characters from the Latin-1 character set. The character mappings allow Latin-1 text to be used instead of real Unicode characters for Arabic, Hebrew, and control codes. This is an enormous convenience in
25 writing, reading, running and printing the test cases. This form is the same as the one used by the Unicode

Bidirectional Reference Java Implementation, as shown in
TABLE 3.

[0017] Unfortunately not all the implementations
adhere to these rules in their test cases. To compensate
5 for this, changes were made to some of the
implementations.

TABLE 3: Bidirectional character mappings

	Type	Arabic	Hebrew	Mixed	English
10	L	a - z	a - z	a - z	a - z
	AL	A - Z		A - M	
	R		A - Z	N - Z	
	AN	0 - 9		5 - 9	
15	EN		0 - 9	0 - 4	0 - 9
	LRE	[[[[
	LRO	{	{	{	{
	RLE]]]]
	RLO	}	}	}	}
20	PDF	^	^	^	^
	NSM	~	~	~	~

[0018] In the Unicode C reference implementation, additional character mapping tables were added to match those of the Unicode Java Reference implementation. Also
5 the bidirectional control codes were remapped from the control range 0x00-0x1F to the printable range 0x20-0x7E. This remapping allowed test results to be compared more easily.

[0019] In PGBA and FriBidi, the character attribute
10 tables were modified to match the character mappings outlined in TABLE 3. However, the strategy we used for evaluation of ICU and Java was slightly different. In the ICU and Java test cases, the character types are used rather than a character mapping. So, in places where our
15 test cases required a specific type, that type was simply used rather than a character mapping.

[0020] The test cases employed are presented in TABLES
4 through 7. The "source" column of each table shows the test case script input and a test case number, and the
20 "expected" column sets forth what the correct display order output should have been.

Table 4: Arabic Charmap Tests

	<u>Source</u>	<u>Expected</u>
5	1 car is THE CAR in arabic	car is RAC EHT in arabic
	2 CAR IS the car IN ENGLISH	HSILGNE NI the car SI RAC
	3 he said "IT IS 123, 456, OK"	he said "KO ,456 ,123 SI TT"
	4 he said "IT IS (123, 456), OK"	he said "KO ,(456 ,123) SI TT"
	5 he said "IT IS 123,456, OK"	he said "KO ,123,456 SI TT"
10	6 he said "IT IS (123,456), OK"	he said "KO ,(123,456) SI TT"
	7 HE SAID "it is 123, 456, ok"	"it is 123, 456, ok" DIAS EH
	8 <H123>shalom</H123>	<123H/>shalom<123H>
	9 HE SAID "it is a car!" AND RAN	NAR DNA "it is a car" DIAS EH
15	10 HE SAID "it is a car!x" AND RAN	NAR DNA "it is a car!x" DIAS EH
	11 -2 CELSIUS IS COLD	DLOC SI SUISLEC -2
	12 SOLVE 1*5 1-5 1/5 1+5	5+1 5/1 5-1 5*1 EVLOS
	13 THE RANGE IS 2.5..5	5..2.5 SI EGNAR EHT
20	14 IOU \$10	10\$ UOI
	15 CHANGE -10%	%10- EGNAHC
	16 -10% CHANGE	EGNAHC %10-

	17 he said "TT IS A CAR!"	he said "RAC A SI TI!"
	18 he said "TT IS A CAR!X"	he said "X!RAC A SI TT"
	19 (TEST) abc	abc (TSET)
	20 abc (TEST)	abc (TSET)
5	21 #@\$ TEST	TSET \$#@
	22 TEST 23 ONCE abc	abc ECNO 23 TSET
	23 he said "THE VALUES ARE 123,	he said "KO ,789 ,456 ,123 ERA
	456, 789, OK"	SEULAV EHT".
	24 he said "TT IS A bmw 500, OK."	he said "A SI TI bmw KO ,500."

10

Table 5: Hebrew Charmap Tests

5

10

15

20

<u>Source</u>	<u>Expected</u>
1 HE SAID "it is 123, 456, ok".	"it is 123, 456, ok" DIAS EH
2 <H123>shalom</H123>	<123H/>shalom<123H>
3 <h123>SAALAM</h123>	<h123>MALAAS</h123>
4 -2 CELSIUS IS COLD	DLOC SI SUISELEC -2
5 -10% CHANGE	EGNAHC -10%
6 TEST ~~~23%% ONCE abc	abc ECNO 23%%~ TSET
7 TEST abc ~~~23%% ONCE	abc ECNO abc ~~~23%% TSET
abc	
8 TEST abc@23@cde ONCE	ECNO abc@23@cde TSET
9 TEST abc 23 cde ONCE	ECNO abc 23 cde TSET
10 TEST abc 23 ONCE cde	cde ECNO abc 23 TSET
11 Xa 2 Z	Z a 2X

Table 6: Mixed Charmap Tests

	<u>Source</u>	<u>Expected</u>
5	1 A~~	~~A
	2 A~a~	a~~A
	3 A1	1A
	4 A1	1 A
	5 A~1	1~A
10	6 1	1
	7 a1	a 1
	8 N1	1 N
	9 A~~ 1	1 ~~A
	10 A~a1	a1~A
15	11 N1	1N
	12 a1	a1
	13 A~N1	1N~A
	14 NOa1	a1ON
	15 1/2	½
20	16 1,2	1,2
	17 5,6	5,6
	18 A1/2	2/1A

	19	A1,5	1,5A
	20	A1,2	1,2A
	21	1,,2	1,,2
	22	1,A2	2A,1
5	23	A5,1	5,1A
	24	+\$1	+\$1
	25	1+\$	1+\$
	26	5+1	5+1
	27	A+\$1	1\$+A
10	28	A1+\$	\$+1A
	29	1+/2	1+/2
	30	5+	5+
	31	+\$	+\$
	32	N+\$1	+\$1N
15	33	+12\$	+12\$
	34	a/1	a/1
	35	1,5	1,5
	36	+5	+5

Table 7: Explicit Override Tests

	<u>Source</u>	<u>Expected</u>
5	1 a}}}}def	afed
	2 a}}}}DEF	aFED
	3 a}}}}defDEF	aFEDfed
	4 a}}}}DEFdef	afedFED
	5 a{{{def	adef
10	6 a{{{DEF	aDEF
	7 a{{{defDEF	adefDEF
	8 a{{{DEFdef	aDEFdef
	9 A}}}}def	fedA
	10 A}}}}DEF	FEDA
15	11 A}}}}defDEF	FEDfedA
	12 A}}}}DEFdef	fedFEDA
	13 A{{{def	defA
	14 A{{{DEF	DEFA
	15 A{{{defDEF	defDEFA
20	16 A{{{DEFdef	DEFdefA
	17 ^^abc	abc
	18 ^^}abc	cba
	19 }^abc	abc
	20 }^}abc	abc
25	21 }^}abc	cba
	22 }^{abc	abc
	23 }^^}abc	cba

24 }}abcDEF

FEDcba

[0021] All implementations were tested by using the

5 test cases from TABLES 4 through 6. The implementations that support the Unicode directional control codes (LRO, LRE, RLO, RLE, and PDF) were further tested using the test cases from TABLE 7. At this time, the directional control codes are only supported by ICU, Java 1.2, 10 Unicode Java reference, and Unicode C reference.

[0022] When the results of the test cases were compared, the placement of directional control codes and choice of mirrors was ignored. This is permitted as the final placement of control codes is arbitrary and

15 mirroring may optionally be handled by a higher order protocol.

[0023] TABLES 8-10 detail the test result differences among the implementations with respect to the expected results. Only PGBA, FriBidi and the Unicode C

20 implementations returned results that were different from the expected results; the Unicode Java reference, Java 1.2, and ICU passed all test cases.

Table 8a. Arabic Test Differences for PGBA 2.4

- 5 4 he said "KO ,)456 ,123(SI TI"
- 6 he said "KO ,)123,456(SI TI"
- 12 1+5 1/5 1-5 5*1 EVLOS
- 14 \$10 UOI
- 15 %-10 EGNAHC
- 10 16 EGNAHC %-10
- 19 abc)TSET(
- 24 he said "A SI TI bmw 500, KO."
-

 Table 8b. Arabic Test Differences for FriBidi 1.12

2 SI RAC the car NI ENGLISH
 5 7 "ok ,456 ,123 it is" DIAS EH
 8 <123H>shalom</123H>
 9 DIAS EH "it is a car!" DNA RAN
 10 DIAS EH "it is a car!x" DNA RAN
 11 -SI SUISELEC 2 COLD
 10 15 10- EGNAHC%
 16 -10% CHANGE
 19 (TSET) abc
 21 #@\$ TEST
 22 ECNO 23 TSET abc

15 -----

Table 8c. Arabic Test Differences for Unicode C Reference

7 "ok ,456 ,123 it is" DIAS EH

5

11 DLOC SI SUISLEC 2-12

Table 9. Hebrew Test Differences

10

PGBA 2.4

FriBidi 1.12

5 EGNAHC %-10

6 abc ECNO %%%23~~~TSET

7 abc ECON %%%23~~~abc TSET

15

11 Z 2 aX

a 2X

Table 10: Mixed test differences

		<u>PGBA</u>	<u>FriBidi 1.12</u>
5	1		A~~
	2	~a~A	~Aa~
	10	1a~A	~Aa1
	14	1a~A	
	18	1/2A	1/2A
10	19		5.1A
	21		2,1
	23		1,5A
	27		+\$1A
	28		1+\$A
15	32	15N	
	35		5,1

20

[0024] In the PGBA reference implementation, types AL and R are treated as being equivalent. This in itself does not present a problem as long as the data stream is

25 free of AL and EN (European number). However, a problem arises when AL is followed

by a EN. For example, test case 18 from TABLE 6. In this situation, the ENs should be treated as AN's (Arabic number) and not left as EN's.

[0025] The handling of NSM is also different in PGBA.

5 PGBA treats NSM as being equal to ON (other neutral).

This delays the handling of NSM until the neutral type resolution phase rather than in the weak type resolution phase. By delaying their handling, the wrong set of rules are used to resolve the NSM type. For example, in test

10 case 2 from TABLE 6 the last NSM should be treated as type L instead of type R.

[0026] There are a few problems with the FriBidi implementation, as well. Specifically, when an AL is followed by a EN the EN is not being changed to type AN.

15 See test case 18 in TABLE 6. This is the same symptom as was found in PGBA, but the root cause is different. In FriBidi, step W2 (weak processing phase rule two) the wrong type is being examined it should be type EN instead of type N. Additionally, there is a problem in

20 determining the first strong directional character. The only types that are recognized as having a strong direction are types R and L. Type AL should also be recognized as a strong directional character. For example, when test case 1 from TABLE 6 is examined

25 FriBidi incorrectly determines that there are no strong directional characters present. It then proceeds to

default the base direction to type L when it should actually be of type R. This problem also causes test cases 2, 9, and 11 from TABLE 4 to fail.

[0027] The greatest hindrance to the creation of a method for converting logical data streams to display streams lies in the problem description. The problem of bidirectional layout is ill defined with respect to the input(s) and output(s).

[0028] Certainly the most obvious input is the data stream itself. Several situations require additional input in order to correctly determine the output stream. For example, in Farsi mathematical expressions are written left to right while in Arabic they are written right to left. This may require a special sub input (directional control code) to appear within stream for proper handling to occur. If it becomes necessary to use control codes for obtaining the desired results the purpose of an algorithm becomes unclear.

[0029] The situation becomes even more cloudy when one considers other possible inputs (paragraph levels, line breaks, shaping, directional overrides, numeric overrides, etc.) Are to be treated as separate inputs? If they are treated as being distinct, when, where and how should they be used? Determining the output(s) is not simple either. The correct output(s) is largely based on the context in which an algorithm be used. If an

algorithm is used to render text, then appropriate outputs might be a glyph vector and a set of screen positions. On the other hand, if an algorithm is simply being used determine character reordering, then an
5 acceptable output might just be a reordered character stream.

[0030] The Unicode Bidirectional algorithm has gone through several iterations over the years. The current textual reference been greatly refined. Nevertheless, we
10 believe that there is room for improvement. Implementing a bidirectional layout algorithm is not a trivial matter even when one restricts an implementation to just reordering. Part of the difficulty can be attributed to the textual description of the algorithm. Additionally
15 there are areas that require further clarification.

[0031] As an example consider step L2 of the Unicode Bidirectional Reference Algorithm. It states the following, "From the highest level found in the text to the lowest odd level on each reverse any contiguous
20 sequence of characters that are at level or higher." This has more than one possible interpretation. It could mean that once the highest level has been found and processed the next level for processing should one less than the current level. It could also be interpreted meaning that
25 the next level to be processed is the next lowest level actually present in the text, which may be greater one

less than the current level. It was only through an examination of Unicode's Java implementation that we were to determine the answer.

[0032] There are also problems concerning the bounds of the Uni-code Bidirectional Algorithm. In the absence of higher order protocols it is not always possible to perform all the steps of Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm. In particular, step L4 requires mirrored characters to be depicted by mirrored glyphs their resolved directionality is R. However, glyph selection requires knowledge of fonts and glyph substitution tables. One possible mechanism for avoiding glyph substitutions is to perform mirroring via character substitutions. In this approach mirrored characters are replaced by their corresponding character mirrors. In most situations this approach yields the same results. The only drawback occurs when a mirrored character does not have its corresponding mirror encoded in Unicode. For example, the square root character (U221A) does not have its corresponding mirror encoded.

[0033] Such situations have placed developers in a quandary. One solution is to use the implementations (Java and C) as a reference. But these implementations don't agree in every case. Furthermore the implementations have different goals. The Java implementation follows the textual reference closely

while the C implementation offers performance improvements.

[0034] However, if computer source code is to be used as a reference design, then source code that is more
5 attuned to describing these types of methods and algorithms is required. The flexibility, extensibility, and understandability of the imperative language references causes these references to be inadequate.

[0035] For example, using the imperative language
10 reference, it matters what character encoding one uses (UCS4, UCS2, or UTF8). In "C", the size of types are not guaranteed to be portable, making C unsuitable as a reference. In the Java, reference implementation the ramifications of moving to UCS4 are unclear.

[0036] Therefore, there is a need in the art for a new
15 reference method for bidirectional text script interpretation for display, which avoids the errors in interpretation of the existing references, as well as provides a framework upon which future, improved models
20 may be realized. Preferably, the new method should separate details that are not directly related to the method such that text and character reordering is completely independent from character encoding.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0037] The following detailed description when taken in conjunction with the figures presented herein provide
5 a complete disclosure of the invention.

[0038] FIGURE 1 shows the arrangement of components of a computer system which is capable of executing Haskell programs.

[0039] FIGURE 2 illustrates the internal manipulation of
10 Unicode as sequences of 32-bit integers.

[0040] FIGURE 3 shows the five phases of the method in the form of a data flow diagram.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0041] In a first aspect of the present invention, a
bidirectional text display method embodied in a
functional programming language, rather than an
5 imperative programming language, is provided to solve the
problems of the currently available bidirectional display
methods. According to the preferred embodiment, the
functional language Haskell is used to provide the
encoding of the process of the invention. However, it
10 will be recognized by those skilled in the art that
alternate functional languages, such as Standard ML
(SML), Miranda, Lisp, Scheme, or Erlang, may also be
employed to encode the process of the invention.

[0042] In the first step of the method, bidirectional
15 attributes are looked up and assigned to a logical
character stream. The attributes are preferably obtained
from an online character database.

[0043] Next, through explicit processing, level
numbers are assigned, honoring any directional overrides
20 present in the logical character stream. Subsequent weak
and neutral type processing potentially causes attribute
types to change based upon surrounding attribute types.
Then, implicit processing assigns final level numbers to
the stream which control reordering. Finally, reordering
25 processing produces a sequence of characters in display
order.

[0044] By separating the facets of layout dealing with reordering from those that are concerned with rendering, such as line breaking, glyph selection, and shaping, the Haskell-based method is more discernible and

5 comprehendable, thereby allowing it to be more useful as a model upon which others may base bidirectional implementations.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0045] The invention is realized in part by a computing platform, such as an IBM-compatible personal computer, Apple MacIntosh [TM], or other computer

5 hardware platform, running a common operating system such as Linux, UNIX, Microsoft's Windows [TM], IBM's AIX[TM] or OS/2 [TM]. According to the preferred embodiment, the method is encoded in the functional programming language Haskell, which can be executed by many computing
10 platforms suitably equipped with one of several widely-available Haskell interpreters, or compiled from Haskell to machine-specific executable code.

[0046] Turning to FIGURE 1, a generalized organization of such a computer platform (10) is shown. The computer
15 platform (10) has a central processing unit (CPU) (14), a set of device drivers and a basic input/output system (BIOS) (18), and typically an operating system (103), such as those mentioned previously. Most computer platforms, such as a personal computer, are also equipped
20 with disk interfaces (15) and disks; user device I/O (16) to interface to keyboards, pointing devices, and a display; and a network interface card or device (17) allowing communications to a computer network, wireless network, or the Internet. Some computer platforms,
25 such as personal digital assistants, web-enabled telephones, and Internet appliances may not be provided

with all of these components, but in general, the functionality of these components is present in some form.

[0047] The computer platform (10) is also typically provided with one or more non-portable, machine-specific application programs (102).

[0048] According to the preferred embodiment, the computer platform is provided with a Haskell interpreter (101), preferably the Hugs 98 interpreter which is freely available from the "HugsOnline" web site for a variety of operating systems and computer platform.

[0049] The remaining disclosure of the invention is presented relative to the computer program implementation of the method for displaying bidirectional text scripts, referred to as Haskell Bidi (HaBi).

[0050] One might ask why implement the Unicode Bidirectional algorithm in a purely functional language, such as Haskell, when so many other implementations already exist? It is the authors contention that a greater understanding of the algorithm is best obtained by a clear functional description of its operations. Without a clear description, implementers may encounter ambiguities that ultimately lead to divergent implementations, contrary the primary goal of the Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm.

[0051] Currently available bidirectional text script

display methods (BiDi) are implemented in imperative languages, such as C and Java, instead of a functional language, such as Haskell. The imperative nature of these languages leaves the possibility of special cases and circumstances not being properly handled by the final code, as demonstrated by the testing described in the BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

[0052] Thus, in a first aspect of the present invention, a method of script-to-display interpretation for bidirectional text scripts is implemented in a functional language, preferably Haskell. More specifically, the preferred embodiment uses the Hugs 98 version of Haskell 98 as it is widely available (Linux, Windows, and Macintosh) and easily configurable.

[0053] Since the dominant concern in HaBi is comprehension and readability, the implementation closely follows the textual description as published in the Unicode Technical Report #9, as shown in the data flow diagram of FIGURE 3. HaBi is comprised of five phases:

- (a) resolution of explicit directional controls (32 and 33);
- (b) resolution of weak types (34);
- (c) resolution of neutral types (35);
- (d) resolution of implicit levels (36 and 37); and

(e) reordering of levels (38).

[0054] Currently, there is no direct support for Unicode in the 98 implementation of Haskell 98. As such,
5 the method treats Unicode lists of 16- or 32-bit integers. The method is divided into two Haskell 98 modules for Unicode manipulation.

0055] The first module is used to create Unicode (UCS4, UCS2, and UTF-8) strings. The second module
10 determines character types. Additional utility functions convert Haskell strings with optional Unicode character escapes to 16- or 32-bit integer lists.

[0056] A Unicode escape takes the form \uhhhh, analogous to the Java reference implementation. This
15 escape sequence is used for representing code points outside the range 0x00 - 0x7f. This format was chosen so as to permit easy comparison of results to other implementations.

[0057] Internally, HaBi manipulates Unicode as
20 sequences of 32-bit integers, as shown in FIGURE 2. HaBi is prepared to handle surrogates as soon as Unicode assigns them in the future; the only change HaBi would require is an updated character attribute table. It would be more elegant to use the polymorphism of Haskell since
25 the algorithm does not really care about the type of a character only its attribute.

[0058] Each Unicode character has an associated Bidirectional attribute and level number. Again, FIGURE 3 shows the general relationship of this information throughout the steps of the method.

5 **[0059]** The first step in our implementation is to lookup and assign bidirectional attributes to the logical character stream. The attributes are preferably obtained from the online character database as published in Unicode 3.0.

[0060] At this point, explicit processing assigns level numbers as well as honoring any directional overrides. Weak and neutral processing potentially causes attribute types to change based upon surrounding attribute types. Implicit processing assigns final level numbers to the stream which control reordering.

10

15 Reordering then produces a sequence of Unicode characters in display order.

HaBi uses the following three internal types:

- (a) type Attributed = (Ucs4, Bidi);
- (b) type Level= (Int, Ucs4, Bidi); and
- (c) data Run = LL[Level] | LR[Level] |
RR[Level] | RL[Level]

20

[0061] Wherever possible, HaBi treats characters collectively as sequential runs rather than as individual characters. By using one of data type Run's four possible type constructors, characters can then be grouped by level. These four constructors signify the possible combinations of starting and ending run directions. For example, the LL constructor signifies that the start of a run and the end of a run are both left to right.

Therefore, runs of LL followed by RL are not created.

[0062] Before the details of the disclosed source code are discussed, it is important to make note of the following concerning HaBi:

(a) the logical text stream is assumed to have already been separated into

paragraphs and lines;

(b) directional control codes are removed once processed;

(c) no limit is imposed on the number of allowable embeddings; and

(d) mirroring is accomplished by performing character replacement.

[0063] By separating those facets of layout dealing with reordering from those that are concerned with

rendering (line breaking, glyph selection, and shaping),
comphrension of the Haskell implementation is more
discernible.

[0064] In the Haskell source code provided in TABLE
5 11, functions are named in such a way so as to correspond
to the appropriate section in the Unicode Bidirectional
textual reference. For example, the function named
"weak" refers to overall weak type resolution. While the
function named "w1_7 ", lines 45-71 of TABLE 11,
10 specifically refers to Unicode steps 1 through 7 in weak
resolution.

[0065] The function "logicalToDisplay", lines 150-158
in TABLE 11, is used to convert a stream in logical order
to one in display order. First, calls to the functions
15 "explicit" (TABLE 11 lines 37-41), "weak" (lines 73-78),
"neutral" (lines 94-99) and "implicit" (lines 114-119)
form runs of fully resolved characters.

[0066] Calls to "reorder" (lines 134-140) and "mirror"
(lines 142-148) are then applied to the fully resolved
20 runs, which in turn yield a stream in display order. This
is discussed in greater detail in the next few
paragraphs.

[0067] The function "explicit" breaks the logical text
stream into logical runs via calls to "p2_3" (lines 1-8),
25 "x2_9" (lines 10-27), and "x10" (lines 29-35). The
reference description suggests the use of stacks for

keeping track of levels, overrides, and embeddings. In our implementation, stacks are used as well, but they are implicit rather than explicit (function "x2_9" arguments two, three, and four). The functions "weak",

5 "neutral", and "implicit" are then mapped onto each individual run.

[0068] In "weak" steps 1 through 7 (lines 45-71), two pieces of information are carried forward (the second and third arguments of function "w1_7") the current

10 directional state and the last character's type. There are cases in the method where a character's direction gets changed but the character's intrinsic type remains unchanged. For example, if a stream contained an AL followed by a EN, the AL would change to type R (step
15 three in weak types resolution). However the last character would need to remain AL so as to cause the EN to change to AN (step two in resolution of weak types). The functions "n1_2" (lines 80-92) and "i1_2" (lines 102-112) resolve the neutral and implicit character types respectively.

20 **[0069]** Further details of these functions are fairly straight forward. At this point, runs are fully resolved and ready for reordering (function reorder). Reordering occurs in two stages. In the first stage, shown as function "reverse Run" (lines 121-126), a run is either completely reversed or left as is. This decision is
25 based upon whether a run's level is even or odd. If it is odd (right to left), then it is reversed. In the second stage, shown as function "reverse Levels" (lines 128-132), the list of runs are reordered. At first it may not be obvious that the list being folded is not the

list of runs, but is the list of levels, highest level to the lowest odd level in the stream. Once reordering is finished, the list of runs are collapsed into a single list of characters in display order.

[0070] All of the test cases discussed previously
5 yield the expected results for the implementation given in TABLE 11, thereby avoiding the problems and inaccuracies of the other tested reference designs.

[0071] In summary, by using a functional language as the basis upon which we provide our bidirectional text
10 display method, we are able to separate details that are not directly related to the algorithm. As such, reordering is completely independent from character encoding.

[0072] It does not matter what character encoding one
15 uses (UCS4, UCS2, or UTF8). The Haskell type system and HaBi character attribute function allows the character encoding to change while not impacting the reordering algorithm, as opposed to other implementations which may find this level of separation difficult to achieve. HaBi presents the
20 steps as simple, easy to understand, functions without side effects. This allows implementers to comprehend the true meaning of each step in the algorithm independently of the others while yet remaining free from language implementation details. Additionally, the creation of test cases is thus more systematic.

[0073] It will be recognized by those skilled in the
25 art that many variations and substitutions may be made to the embodiment described herein without departing from the

spirit and scope of the invention. For example, other functional programming methodologies may be adopted, such as use of a specific macro language, or use of alternate suitable operating systems and computer platforms. As
5 such, the scope of this invention should be limited only by the language of the following claims.

Table 11. Haskell Source Code for HaBi

5

1 -- Rule P2, P3 determine base level of text from the first strong

2 -- directional character

3 p2_3 :: [Attributed] -> Int

4 p2_3 [] = 0

10

5 p2_3 ((_,L):xs) = 0

6 p2_3 ((_,AL):xs) = 1

7 p2_3 ((_,R):xs) = 1

8 p2_3 (_,xs) = p2_3(xs)

9

15

10 -- Rules X2 - X9

11 x2_9 :: [Int] -> [Bidi] -> [Bidi] -> [Attributed] -> [Level]

12 x2_9 ____=[]

13 x2_9 (l:ls) os es ((x,RLE):xs)

14 = x2_9 ((add 1 R):l:ls) (N:os) (RLE:es) xs

20

15 x2_9 (l:ls) os es ((x,LRE):xs)

16 = x2_9 ((add 1 L):l:ls) (N:os) (LRE:es) xs

17 x2_9 (l:ls) os es ((x,RLO):xs)

18 = x2_9 ((add 1 R):l:ls) (R:os) (RLO:es) xs


```

19 x2_9 (l:ls) os es ((x,LRO):xs)

20 = x2_9 ((add l L):l:ls) (L:os) (LRO:es) xs

21 x2_9 ls os (e:es) ((x,PDF):xs)

22 | elem e [RLE,LRE,RLO,LRO] = x2_9 (tail ls) (tail os) es xs
5
23 x2_9 ls os es ((x,PDF):xs)

24 = x2_9 ls os es xs

25 x2_9 ls os es ((x,y):xs)

26 | (head os) == N = ((head ls),x,y) : x2_9 ls os es xs

27 | otherwise = ((head ls),x,(head os)) : x2_9 ls os es xs
10
28

29 -- Rule X10 group characters by level

30 x10 :: (Int, Int) -> [Level] -> Run

31 x10 (sor,eor) xs

32 | even sor && even eor = LL xs

33 | even sor && odd eor = LR xs
15
34 | odd sor && even eor = RL xs

35 | otherwise = RR xs

36

37 -- Process explicit characters X1 - X10

20
38 explicit :: Int -> [Attributed] -> [Run]

39 explicit l xs = zipWith x10 (runList levels l l) groups

40 where levels = (map (\x -> level (head x)) groups)

```

41 groups = groupBy levelEq1 (x2_9 [I][N][] xs)

42

43

44

5

45 -- Rules W1 - W7

46 w1_7 :: [Level]->Bidi ->Bidi ->[Level]

47 w1_7 [] _ _ = []

48 w1_7 ((x,y,L):xs) _ _ = (x,y,L):(w1_7 xs L L)

49 w1_7 ((x,y,R):xs) _ _ = (x,y,R):(w1_7 xs R R)

10

50 w1_7 ((x,y,AL):xs) _ _ = (x,y,R):(w1_7 xs AL R)

51 w1_7 ((x,y,AN):xs) dir _ = (x,y,AN):(w1_7 xs dir AN)

52 w1_7 ((x,y,EN):xs) AL _ = (x,y,AN):(w1_7 xs AL AN)

53 w1_7 ((x,y,EN):xs) L _ = (x,y,L):(w1_7 xs L EN)

54 w1_7 ((x,y,EN):xs) dir _ = (x,y,EN):(w1_7 xs dir EN)

15

55 w1_7 ((x,y,NSM):xs) L N = (x,y,L):(w1_7 xs L L)

56 w1_7 ((x,y,NSM):xs) R N = (x,y,R):(w1_7 xs R R)

57 w1_7 ((x,y,NSM):xs) dir last = (x,y,last):(w1_7 xs dir last)

58 w1_7 ((a,b,ES):(x,y,EN):xs) dir EN =

59 (a,b,EN):(x,y,EN):(w1_7 xs dir EN)

20

60 w1_7 ((a,b,CS):(x,y,EN):xs) dir EN =

61 (a,b,EN):(x,y,EN):(w1_7 xs dir EN)

62 w1_7 ((a,b,CS):(x,y,EN):xs) AL AN =

63 (a,b,AN):(x,y,AN):(w1_7 xs AL AN)
 64 w1_7 ((a,b,CS):(x,y,AN):xs) dir AN =
 65 (a,b,AN):(x,y,AN):(w1_7 xs dir AN)
 66 w1_7 ((x,y,ET):xs) dir EN = (x,y,EN):(w1_7 xs dir EN)
 5 67 w1_7 ((x,y,z):xs) dir last
 68 | z==ET && findEnd xs ET == EN && dir /= AL
 69 = (x,y,EN):(w1_7 xs dir EN)
 70 | elem z [CS,ES,ET] = (x,y,ON):(w1_7 xs dir ON)
 71 | otherwise = (x,y,z):(w1_7 xs dir z)
 10 72
 73 -- Process a run of weak characters W1 - W7
 74 weak :: Run -> Run
 75 weak (LL xs) = LL (w1_7 xs L N)
 76 weak (LR xs) = LR (w1_7 xs L N)
 15 77 weak (RL xs) = RL (w1_7 xs R N)
 78 weak (RR xs) = RR (w1_7 xs R N)
 79
 80 -- Rules N1 - N2
 81 n1_2 :: [[Level]] -> Bidi -> Bidi -> Bidi -> [Level]
 20 82 n1_2 [] _ _ base = []
 83 n1_2 (x:xs) sor eor base
 84 | isLeft x = x ++ (n1_2 xs L eor base)

85 | isRight x = x ++ (n1_2 xs R eor base)
 86 | isNeutral x && sor == R && (dir xs eor) == R
 87 = (map (newBidi R) x) ++ (n1_2 xs R eor base)
 88 | isNeutral x && sor == L && (dir xs eor) == L
 5 89 = (map (newBidi L) x) ++ (n1_2 xs L eor base)
 90 | isNeutral x =
 91 (map (newBidi base) x) ++ (n1_2 xs sor eor base)
 92 | otherwise = x ++ (n1_2 xs sor eor base)
 93
 10 94 -- Process a run of neutral characters N1 - N2
 95 neutral :: Run -> Run
 96 neutral (LL xs) = LL (n1_2 (groupBy neutralEq1 xs) L L L)
 97 neutral (LR xs) = LR (n1_2 (groupBy neutralEq1 xs) L R L)
 98 neutral (RL xs) = RL (n1_2 (groupBy neutralEq1 xs) R L R)
 15 99 neutral (RR xs) = RR (n1_2 (groupBy neutralEq1 xs) R R R)
 100
 101
 102 -- Rule I1, I2
 103 i1_2 :: [[Level]] -> Bidi -> [Level]
 20 104 i1_2 [] _ = []
 105 i1_2 ((x:xs):ys) dir
 106 | attrib x == R && dir == L

```

107 = (map (newLevel 1) (x:xs)) ++ (i1_2 ys L)

108 | elem (attrib x) [AN,EN] && dir == L

109 = (map (newLevel 2) (x:xs)) ++ (i1_2 ys L)

110 | elem (attrib x) [L,AN,EN] && dir == R

5 111 = (map (newLevel 1) (x:xs)) ++ (i1_2 ys R)

112 i1_2 (x:xs) dir = x ++ (i1_2 xs dir)

113

114 -- Process a run of implicit characters I1 - I2

115 implicit :: Run -> Run

10 116 implicit (LL xs) = LL (i1_2 (groupBy bidiEqL xs) L)

117 implicit (LR xs) = LR (i1_2 (groupBy bidiEqL xs) L)

118 implicit (RL xs) = RL (i1_2 (groupBy bidiEqL xs) R)

119 implicit (RR xs) = RR (i1_2 (groupBy bidiEqL xs) R)

120

15 121 -- If a run is odd (L) then reverse the characters

122 reverseRun :: [Level] -> [Level]

123 reverseRun [] = []

124 reverseRun (x:xs)

125 | even (level x) = x:xs

20 126 | otherwise = reverse (x:xs)

127

128 reverseLevels :: [[Level]] -> [[Level]] -> Int -> [[Level]]

```

```

129 reverseLevels w [] _ = w
130 reverseLevels w (x:xs) a = if (level (head x)) >= a
131 then reverseLevels (x:w) xs a
132 else w ++ [x] ++ (reverseLevels [] xs a)
5      133
134 -- Rule L2 Reorder
135 reorder:: [Run] -> Bidi -> [[Level]]
136 reorder xs base = foldl (reverseLevels []) runs levels
137 where
10      138 flat = concat (map toLevel xs)
139 runs = map reverseRun (groupBy levelEq flat)
140 levels = getLevels runs
141
142 -- Rule L4 Mirrors
15      143 mirror:: [Level] -> [Level]
144 mirror [] = []
145 mirror ((x,y,R):xs) = case getMirror y of
146 Nothing -> (x,y,R):(mirror xs)
147 Just a -> (x,a,R):(mirror xs)
20      148 mirror (x:xs) = x:(mirror xs)
149
150 logicalToDisplay :: [Attributed] -> [Ucs4]

```

151 logicalToDisplay attribs
152 =let baseLevel = p2_3 attribs in
153 let baseDir = (if odd baseLevel then R else L) in
154 let x = explicit baseLevel attribs in
5 155 let w = map weak x in
156 let n = map neutral w in
157 let i = map implicit n in
158 map character (mirror (concat (reorder i baseDir)))

10

11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000